

Review of Thomas A. Wayment, trans., *The New Testament: A Translation for Latter-day Saints: A Study Bible* (Provo, UT: Religious Studies Center; Salt Lake City: Deseret Book, 2018). \$29.99 print. \$17.00 digital. 491 pp.

In a sermon delivered in Salt Lake City, Utah on August 27, 1871, Brigham Young issued this charge:

[If] there is a scholar on the earth who professes to be a Christian, and he can translate [the Bible] any better than King James’s translators did it, he is under obligation to do so, or the curse is upon him. If I understood Greek and Hebrew as some may profess to do, and I knew the Bible was not correctly translated, I should feel myself bound by the law of justice to the inhabitants of the earth to translate that which is incorrect and give it just as it was spoken anciently.

Putting a fine point on it, President Young asked rhetorically “Is that proper?” and answer in the affirmative. “Yes, I would be under obligation to do it.”<sup>[1]</sup>

English-speaking members of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints have long cherished the King James Bible, which is both the official English Bible of the Church and has informed Latter-day Saint theological vocabulary since the founding of the Church in 1830. Allusions to and citations of KJV passages and language are woven deeply throughout Latter-day Saint scripture and theological vernacular,<sup>[2]</sup> and Joseph Smith famously undertook a “new translation” or revision of the KJV as part of his larger restoration project.<sup>[3]</sup> Given that the Latter-day Saint leaders have historically resisted the adoption of modern English translations of the Bible,<sup>[4]</sup> it would not be unfounded to assume that the KJV enjoys a supremacy over Bibles among English-speaking Latter-day Saints that will not be contested anytime soon.<sup>[5]</sup>

Nevertheless, it simply cannot be denied that after 400 years of intense biblical scholarship since the publication of the KJV in 1611, to say nothing of 400 years of development of the English language, the time is long overdue for English-speaking Latter-day Saints to seriously re-examine their exclusive loyalty to the KJV.<sup>[6]</sup> While the KJV unquestionably remains unsurpassed in literary excellence amongst English Bibles---the veritable crown jewel in the diadem of English prose and poetry---the plain fact is that sole reliance on the KJV is in many regards a serious impediment to deeper understanding of the biblical text. President Young’s insistence that faithful scholars are obliged “by the law of justice to the inhabitants of the earth to translate that which is incorrect and give it just as it was spoken anciently” must be seriously reckoned with by members of the Church, as there is abundant justification for just such an undertaking.

Thankfully, Latter-day Saints have now been supplied with a landmark publication that meets this demand. Thomas A. Wayment, currently a professor of Classics and previously a professor of ancient scripture at Brigham Young University who has published extensively on New Testament and early Christianity in both popular and academic venues,<sup>[7]</sup> has benefited members of the Church with a fresh, precise, engaging, and approachable translation of the New Testament (henceforth the WT for “Wayment Translation”) geared squarely at a mainstream Latter-day Saint audience.

At the outset, Wayment is quick to clarify what his translation is *not*. “This translation is not an attempt to replace the King James Bible for Latter-day Saint readers, but it is an invitation to engage again the meaning of the text for a new and more diverse English readership” of the New Testament. If Wayment’s translation, then, is not meant to replace the KJV, what precisely does it intend to accomplish? “This translation intentionally engages the possibility that the New Testament can be rendered into modern language in a way that will help a reader more fully understand the teachings

words of Jesus and his first century apostles are liable to be obscured if modern readers only have access to them through archaic language that is no longer suitable to their modern needs. “When the language of translation becomes too foreign,” Wayment observes, “too distant from the present age, it is time to consider the possibility of another translation” (vii). The fact that a portion of the revisions made by Joseph Smith in his “new translation” of the Bible were updates to the archaic language of the KJV puts Wayment in good company on this point.<sup>[8]</sup>

Besides providing a fresh translation, Wayment also endeavors to make his edition “a study tool, an aid to inviting readers into the text so that new meaning can be discovered, and new inspiration can be found” (vii). To that end, the WT overhauls the formatting of the text in some ways that his Latter-day Saint readers are perhaps not too familiar with. This includes the use of “quotation marks to designate what was said and by whom,” a “paragraph structure” as opposed to versification, the minimalization of “the intrusion of verse divisions” by “placing verse designations in a smaller superscript font,” the inclusion of headings to demarcate literary pericopes in narrative and thematic, doctrinal, or structural sections in epistles, and the rendering of intertextual quotations into italics with “notes [to] direct the reader to the source of those quotations” (viii-ix). It is apparent that Wayment and his editor(s) at the Religious Studies Center have put great care into making this an aesthetically pleasing and readable edition.

The study notes in the WT “favor intertextuality, especially with the Book of Mormon and the Doctrine and Covenants.” Wayment informs his readers that he included “those references to help the reader see how the New Testament texts are engaged, developed, and interpreted in the Book of Mormon and the Doctrine and Covenants.” References to the JST are also included in the notes, but Wayment is “selective” in how many JST variant readings he includes because “many of the changes that [Joseph Smith] made are inextricably linked to the King James Version.” Important variant readings found in different Greek manuscripts are likewise provided in the notes, as is commentary on disputed passages of “questionable origin” which “offer[s] an opinion regarding the authenticity” of said passages. Latter-day Saints, naturally, should not be scandalized by potential corruptions in the biblical text (see Article of Faith 8), and in any case, it is important to note disputed or variant readings to “show how the text of the New Testament developed over time.” In instances of clearly spurious passages (e.g. 1 John 5:7-8, the interpolation known commonly today as the Johannine Comma), the offending verses have “been removed from the text and placed in the notes” (ix).

HEALING OF A MAN WITH LEP-  
ROSY (MK 1:40–45; LK 5:12–16)

**8**<sup>1</sup>When Jesus came down from the mountain, a large crowd followed him. <sup>2</sup>And a man with leprosy knelt down and said to him, “Lord, if you wish, you can purify me.” <sup>3</sup>And he reached out his hand and touched him, saying, “I do want this. Be cleansed.” And right away his leprosy was cleansed. <sup>4</sup>Then Jesus said to him, “Share this with no one, but go show yourself to the priest, and offer the gift as instructed by Moses as a witness to them.”

THE CENTURION’S SON IS  
HEALED (LK 7:1–10; JN 4:46B–54)

<sup>5</sup>And when he came to Capernaum, a centurion came to him and called him, <sup>6</sup>saying, “Lord, my son is lying at home motionless, in terrible pain.” <sup>7</sup>And he said to him, “I will come and heal him.” <sup>8</sup>And the centurion responded, saying, “Lord, I am not worthy that you should come under

my roof, but say the word only, and my son will be healed. <sup>9</sup>For I am a man with authority, having soldiers under my command, and I say to one, ‘Go,’ and he goes, and to another, ‘Come,’ and he comes, and to my servant, ‘Do this,’ and he does it.” <sup>10</sup>Jesus, when he heard this, marveled and said to those following him, “Truly I say to you, I have not found such faith in Israel. <sup>11</sup>I say to you that many will come from the east and west and will eat with Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob in the kingdom of heaven. <sup>12</sup>But the children of the kingdom will be thrown into the outer darkness, where there will be weeping and grinding of teeth.” <sup>13</sup>And Jesus said to the centurion, “Go, and as you have believed, let it be done.” And his son was healed in that same hour.

HEALING OF PETER’S MOTHER-  
IN-LAW (MK 1:29–34; LK 4:38–41)

<sup>14</sup>And Jesus came to Peter’s house and saw his mother-in-law cast down with fever.

manuscripts add the Pharisees so that the end of the verse reads *and not as their scribes and Pharisees*.

**8:1–9:38** Matthew collects many of the miracle stories from Jesus’s ministry in these two chapters. Together they help demonstrate to the reader that Jesus is the Promised One, or the One who would come (Matthew 3:11). **8:1** *Leprosy* in the New Testament period described a variety of skin diseases, including Hansen’s disease (see Leviticus 13:45–46). **8:2** The verb indicates cleansing or purifying in a Levitical or priestly sense and is not the typical verb of healing. Compare Matthew 8:7. **8:3** The act of touching the man would have rendered Jesus unclean (Leviticus 14:1–32). **8:4** The command to not tell anyone about a miracle or healing is reminiscent of the Gospel of Mark. See Mark 1:34; 7:36; 9:30. Compare also Matthew 16:20; 17:9; Mark 7:24; 8:30; 9:9; Luke 5:14; 9:21, 36. The gift that Moses commanded to be given is set forth in Leviticus 14:2–32. **8:5** Some Syriac manuscripts refer to the centurion as a *chiliarch*, a commander of one thousand soldiers (see also 8:13). A centurion commands one hundred soldiers in the Roman army, thus indicating that this individual was a Roman citizen and a retired soldier of some rank. **8:6** The centurion could also be asking about his servant. The care that he shows for the boy may indicate that he is his son, but the Greek word can refer to either a son or servant. **8:11** See Isaiah 2:2–4 for converts from the east and west. Compare Luke 1:70; Alma 7:25; Helaman 3:30. **8:11–12** An allusion to Psalm 107:3 (compare Alma 5:24). **8:12** The image of grinding of teeth appears to contrast with those who will dine with Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob and will presumably have their mouths full of food. Grinding of teeth may refer to chewing without any food. Compare Matthew 22:13; 25:30; Alma 40:13; Doctrine and Covenants 19:5; 101:91. **8:13** The manuscript support for the final sentence, *and his son was healed in that same hour*, is not unanimous, and several different early versions exist. Some early manuscripts add a second somewhat redundant sentence to the end, *the centurion returned to his house and found his son in that same hour healed*. **8:14** First Corinthians 9:5 also refers to Peter’s being married.

Figure 1. A page from Wayment's study edition of the New Testament

<sup>20</sup>Now, Christ was in fact raised from the dead, the firstfruits of those who have died. <sup>21</sup>For since death came through one person, the resurrection from the dead came through one person, <sup>22</sup>for just as in Adam all die, even so in Christ all will be made alive. <sup>23</sup>Each person in his own order—Christ as the firstfruit, then those who belong to Christ at his coming. <sup>24</sup>Then the end comes, when Christ hands over the kingdom to God the Father after ending all rule, and all authority and power. <sup>25</sup>For he must reign until he places all enemies under his feet. <sup>26</sup>Death is the last enemy to be destroyed, <sup>27</sup>for “*he has placed everything in subjection under his feet.*” But when it says, “*everything has been placed in subjection,*” it is evident that the one who subjected all things is excluded. <sup>28</sup>When all things are subjected to him, then the Son himself will also be subjected to him who put all things in subjection under him, so that God is all in all.

<sup>29</sup>Otherwise, why are they baptized on behalf of the dead? If the dead are not raised at all, why are they baptized on their behalf? <sup>30</sup>Why are we in danger every

hour? <sup>31</sup>I am dying every day. This is true, brothers and sisters, like my boasting of you which I have in Christ Jesus our Lord.

<sup>32</sup>If I fought wild animals in Ephesus only as a man, what would I gain? If the dead are not raised, let us eat and drink, for tomorrow we die. <sup>33</sup>Do not be deceived: “*Bad company corrupts good morals.*” <sup>34</sup>Sober up as is right, and cease sinning, for some have no understanding of God. I tell you this for your shame.

### THE RESURRECTED BODY

<sup>35</sup>But some will say, “How are the dead raised? In what body will they come?”

<sup>36</sup>Fool, what you sow must die in order to come to life. <sup>37</sup>What you sow is not the body that is to be but a bare seed only, perhaps wheat or some other grain. <sup>38</sup>But God gives it a body as he desires, to each kind of seed its own body. <sup>39</sup>Not all flesh is the same flesh, but there is one kind for humans, and another flesh for animals, another for birds, and another for fish. <sup>40</sup>There are heavenly bodies and earthly bodies; however, the glory of the heav-

**15:22** Paul is the only one in the New Testament to tie together the fall of Adam and the resurrection of Christ, though the concept is prevalent in the Book of Mormon (for example, 2 Nephi 2:25; Mosiah 3:16). **15:23** The technical term used to describe the *coming* of Christ is the *Parousia*. The word refers to the presence of a person, their arrival, or a visit. Sometimes the word is used to describe the *Second Coming of Christ*, but the New Testament does not use the word *Second* to describe that coming. Compare Doctrine and Covenants 88:60. **15:24** *All rule, and its associated authority and power* is also possible. **15:25** A phrase from this verse is quoted in Doctrine and Covenants 49:6 with expanded meaning. Compare Psalm 110:1; Doctrine and Covenants 58:22; 76:106. **15:27** Quotation from Psalm 8:6. **15:28** *All in all* refers to the idea that God the Father must remain above all, even with respect to the Son, and therefore the Son will eventually be subjected to the Father. Doctrine and Covenants 76:106 comments upon the meaning of this verse. **15:29** Paul does not specify who *they* are in this verse. The reference appears to be obvious to the Corinthian saints, and therefore it was likely that members of the church in Corinth who practiced baptism on behalf of the dead understood the reference. This is the only mention of the practice in the New Testament, and no guidelines or details associated with the practice have survived. Doctrine and Covenants 128:16 quotes this verse (compare Doctrine and Covenants 127:6). **15:31** Greek uses *brothers* generically to refer to *brothers and sisters*. **15:32** An allusion to Isaiah 22:13; 56:12. **15:33** A quotation from the Greek poet Menander from *Thais* (fragment 218). **15:37** *Only* is not noted in Greek. Paul is emphasizing that the mortal body is not like the eternal body we will possess but is rather only a seed of that body. The Greek says literally *a naked seed*. **15:40** The Joseph Smith Translation adds a third type of body to this list (telestial). Compare Doctrine and Covenants 76:78. **15:40–41** Doctrine and Covenants 76:96–8 expand the meaning of these verses.

Figure 2. A page from Wayment's study edition of the New Testament.

In terms of what kind of the translation Wayment has produced, based on his own prefatory explanation and from a sampling of passages, it appears that the WT is more or less a moderate to formal equivalence of the underlying Greek text somewhere between the New Revised Standard Version and the New International Version. That is to say, Wayment has not “attempted to translate Greek words exactly the same way in each instance, nor the same [grammatical] order in which the words appear in their Greek sentences,” as such would come at the cost of readability. He has, essentially, “chosen to err on the side of context in determining” how to render the Greek (viii).

Take, for instance, the question of how to render the word ἀδελφός (*adelphos*). A straightforward translation of the word would be “brother,” and, as Wayment notes, there are some passages where “the author appears to have intended ‘men’ exclusively” (e.g. Matthew 2:16; 8:28; 14:21). However, there are many other uses of *adelphos* in the New Testament that do not require a gender-exclusive rendering of the word. “The original context of the word was not intentionally exclusionary but rather an artifact of first-century common usage and parlance,” notes Wayment. Because the New Testament often uses the word “generically to refer to those who believe alike, regardless of gender,” Wayment opts to translate *adelphos* inclusively as “brother and sister” in many instances (ix). In my judgment, this is a perfectly reasonable, even laudable, way to stay true to the sense of the Greek (based on context) while adapting the English to be meaningful for a broader---in this case a gender-non-exclusionary---audience.

Accordingly, Wayment's approach is welcome because “the New Testament is written in a variety of different Greek styles,” and so imposing a rigid and uniform rendition of English would obscure the range of refined to simple Greek encountered in the various New Testament books. “A translation that can represent the simple power of the language of Jesus and his followers is truly a gift,” Wayment correctly points out, “and as we are further and further removed from the seventeenth century, we have begun to lose sight of the realization that Jesus spoke like everyday people. Jesus did not speak using archaic English terms and phrases. His speech was quite ordinary, his meaning was quite profound, and his intent was often clear. As language evolves, so too translations need to evolve” (vii). So while Wayment's translation is not likely to be heard being sung by the King's Singers in Cambridge (or The Tabernacle Choir at Temple Square in Salt Lake City) during Christmastime, it nevertheless does effectively render the Greek in a readable yet faithful manner.

It is clear that the WT is aimed at a general, non-academic audience. The question might thus naturally arise as to how Wayment navigates historical or textual issues that become apparent from a critical reading of the New Testament. Issues pertaining to authorship, historicity, and textual corruption in the New Testament are handled judiciously by Wayment. True to its self-styling as a “study Bible for Latter-day Saints,” the WT does not shy away from questions or concerns about the authorship and historicity of the New Testament books, but it also does not lose focus on its devotional and pastoral purposes. Nor does it appear to take any overly radical positions at odds with the restored gospel that are propounded by more “liberal” or secular scholars of the New Testament. On the contrary, I found the WT being, at times, fairly “conservative” in how it approaches a number of issues.<sup>[9]</sup> Take these three examples:

- Concerning the *Pericope Adulterae* (John 7:53-8:11), Wayment writes, “The earliest manuscripts of the New Testament omit this verse and John 8:1-11. Some manuscripts place the story of the woman caught in adultery at John 7:36, after John 21:25, or after Luke 21:38. The story appears to have strong external support that it originated with Jesus, but it may not have originally been placed here in the Gospel of John or even to have been written by the

author of the Fourth Gospel. It is placed in double brackets [in the WT] to indicate that it has

questionable textual support, but it is included in the text because it has a reasonable likelihood of describing a historical event from the life of Jesus” (181).

- Concerning the depiction in Luke 22:43-44 of Jesus experiencing hematohidrosis, Wayment writes, “These two verses are greatly disputed, and a number of important ancient manuscripts omit them. Other early and important manuscripts include these verses. Given the current evidence, it is unlikely that the question of their omission or inclusion can be resolved. However, the evidence is strong enough to suggest that they may be original to Luke’s Gospel but were perhaps omitted over doctrinal concerns. Mosiah 3:7 seems to have these verses in mind (compare Doctrine and Covenants 19:16-19)” (156-157).<sup>[10]</sup>
- Concerning the disputed authorship of Hebrews, Wayment writes, “In one of the earliest Greek manuscripts (Chester Beatty papyrus 46), this epistle is included immediately following Romans, indicating that whoever made that copy of the New Testament felt that Paul was the author of the work because the scribe placed the book alongside the other Pauline epistles. . . . However, there are also significant concerns regarding Paul’s authorship of the letter, and the style of Hebrews and the quality of the Greek writing is so markedly different from Paul’s other letters as to suggest that Paul certainly did not write the letter in the same way and under the same circumstances that he wrote his other letters. . . . Tradition suggests that Paul wrote Hebrews, which is a reasonable assumption; the evidence is fairly conclusive that an early Christian author who was connected to Timothy wrote this epistle with the intent of addressing the topic of Christ for a Jewish Christian audience” (401).<sup>[11]</sup>

Overall, I found much in Wayment’s new study edition of the New Testament to commend to its intended Latter-day Saint audience. It is precisely the sort of thing that qualified Latter-day Saint biblical scholars can and should be doing for each of the biblical books. The world already benefits from the *HarperCollins Study Bible*, the *Jewish Study Bible*, the *Catholic Study Bible*, and the *New Oxford Annotated Bible*, to name just a few examples. It’s time for an authoritative *Latter-day Saint Study Bible* (perhaps a *Restoration Study Bible*) for both the Old and New Testaments. Wayment has provided a promising glimpse at what a reliable, comprehensive study Bible for Latter-day Saints could look like. If Latter-day Saint scholars collaborated to synthesize the best of biblical scholarship with doctrinal and historical insights from Restoration scripture and the teachings of modern prophets and apostles, I am confident that the publication of just such a study Bible could be accomplished to great benefit for the Saints.

Until that time, every Latter-day Saint wishing to seriously engage the New Testament should pick up a copy of Wayment’s new translation.

## Appendix: Parallel Comparison of Select KJV and WT Passages

Citation	LDS KJV (2013)	Wayment (2018)
<b>Matt. 5:14-16</b>	Ye are the light of the world. A city that is set on a hill cannot be hid. Neither do men light a candle, and put it under a bushel, but on a candlestick; and it giveth light unto all that are in the house. Let your light so shine before men, that they may see your good works, and glorify your Father which is in heaven.	You are the light of the world. A city built on a hill cannot be hid: no one who lights a lamp places it under a basket but on a lampstand, and it gives light to all those in the house. Therefore, let your light shine before people so they may see your good works and give glory to your Father who is in heaven.

<b>Translating the New Testament for Latter-day Saints</b>		<b>Stephen O. Smoot</b>
<del><b>Matt. 5:48</b></del>	<del>Be ye therefore perfect, even as your Father which is in heaven is perfect.</del>	<del>Therefore, you will be perfect, even as your heavenly Father is perfect.</del>
<b>Matt. 16:18-19</b>	And I say unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it. And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven.	I say to you that you are Peter, and on this rock I will build my church, and the gates of Hades will not overpower it. I will give to you the keys of the kingdom of heaven, and whatever you bind on earth, it will be bound in the heavens, and whatever you undo on earth, it will be undone in the heavens.
<b>Matt. 28:19-20</b>	Go ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. Teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you; and, lo, I am with you always, even unto the end of the world. Amen.	Go forward, making disciples of all nations and baptizing them in the name of the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit, teaching them to observe all things that I have commanded you, and behold, I am with you always, until the end of time.
<b>John 3:5</b>	Jesus answered, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God	Jesus answered, "Truly, truly, I say unto you, unless a person is born of water and Spirit, that person cannot enter the kingdom of God."
<b>John 3:16</b>	For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life.	For this is how God loved the world: he gave his Only Begotten Son so that all who believe in him will not perish but have eternal life.
<b>1 Cor. 15:20-22</b>	But now is Christ risen from the dead, and become the firstfruits of them that slept. For since by man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead. For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive.	Now, Christ was in fact raised from the dead, the firstfruits of those who have died. For since death came through one person, the resurrection from the dead came through one person, for just as in Adam all die, even so in Christ all will be made alive.
<b>1 Cor. 15:29</b>	Else what shall they do which are baptized for the dead, if the dead rise not at all? why are they then baptized for the dead?	Otherwise, why are they baptized on behalf of the dead? If the dead are not raised at all, why are they baptized on their behalf?
<b>Eph. 4:11-14</b>	And he gave some, apostles; and some, prophets; and some, evangelists; and some, pastors and teachers; For the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ: Till we all come in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ: That we henceforth be no more children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with every wind of doctrine, by the sleight of man, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive.	And he gave some apostles, prophets, evangelists, shepherds, and teachers, to equip the saints for the work of the ministry, for building up the body of Christ, until we all arrive at the unity of faith and the knowledge of the Son of God, at being a mature person at the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ so that we are no longer infants, tossed back and forth by the waves and carried about by every wind of teaching, by the cunning of people who with craftiness carry out deceitful schemes.
<b>2 Thess. 2:3</b>	Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition.	Let no one deceive you by any means, because that day will not come until the apostasy comes first and the man of lawlessness, who is the son of perdition, is revealed.
<b>James 1:5</b>	If any of you lack wisdom, let him ask of God, that giveth to all men liberally, and upbraideth not; and it shall be given him.	If anyone lacks wisdom, let that person ask God, who gives to everyone generously and without reproach, and it will be given to him.
<b>1 Peter 4:6</b>	For for this cause was the gospel preached also to them that are dead, that they might be judged according to men in the flesh, but live according to God in the spirit.	For this is the reason the gospel was preached also to those who are dead, so that they may be judged in the flesh by human standards, and they may live according to God's standards.

Translating the New Testament for Latter-day Saints		Stephen O. Smoot
<b>Rev. 22:18-19</b>	For I testify unto every man that heareth the words of the prophecy of this book, If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book: And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, and from the things which are written in this book.	I testify to everyone who hears the words of the prophecy of this book. If anyone adds to them, God will place the plagues that are written in this book upon that person. And if anyone removes anything from the words of the book of this prophecy, God will remove his part from the tree of life and his part in the holy city, which are described in this book.

[1] Brigham Young, "Temperance," *Journal of Discourses*, 14:226-227.

[2] See generally *The King James Bible and the Restoration*, ed. Kent P. Jackson (Provo, UT: Religious Studies Center, Brigham Young University, 2011), online at <https://rsc.byu.edu/recent/king-james-bible-and-restoration>.

[3] See Robert J. Matthews, "A Plainer Translation": *Joseph Smith's Translation of the Bible* (Provo, Utah: Brigham Young University Press, 1975); Scott H. Faulring, Kent P. Jackson, and Robert J. Matthews, eds., *Joseph Smith's New Translation of the Bible: Original Manuscripts* (Provo, Utah: Religious Studies Center, 2004); Elizabeth Maki, "Joseph Smith's Bible Translation: D&C 45, 76, 77, 86, 91," in *Revelations in Context: The Stories behind the Sections of the Doctrine and Covenants*, ed. Matthew McBride and James Goldberg (Salt Lake City: The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, 2016), 99-104, online at

<https://churchofjesuschrist.org/study/manual/revelations-in-context/joseph-smiths-bible-translation?lang=eng>; "Joseph Smith Translation of the Bible," online at

<https://churchofjesuschrist.org/study/history/topics/joseph-smith-translation-of-the-bible?lang=eng>.

[4] Perhaps the most outspoken Latter-day Saint General Authority opponent of modern English translations of the Bible was J. Reuben Clark, who served as both a member of the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles and the First Presidency throughout his ecclesiastical ministry. See his *Why The King James Version?* (Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 1956); see further Philip L. Barlow, "Why the King James Version?: From the Common to the Official Bible of Mormonism," *Dialogue* 22, no. 2 (Summer 1989): 19-42, online at

[https://www.dialoguejournal.com/wp-content/uploads/sbi/articles/Dialogue\\_V22N02\\_21.pdf](https://www.dialoguejournal.com/wp-content/uploads/sbi/articles/Dialogue_V22N02_21.pdf).

[5] See the discussion in Philip L. Barlow, *Mormons and the Bible: The Place of the Latter-day Saints in American Religion*, rev. ed. (New York, N.Y.: Oxford University Press, 2013).

[6] This point has been raised and explored more fully by Grant Hardy, "The King James Bible and the Future of Missionary Work," *Dialogue* 45, no. 2 (Summer 2012): 1-44, online at

[https://www.dialoguejournal.com/wp-content/uploads/sbi/articles/V45N02\\_244a.pdf](https://www.dialoguejournal.com/wp-content/uploads/sbi/articles/V45N02_244a.pdf).

[7] A number of Wayment's publications can be found online at

<https://rsc.byu.edu/authors/wayment-thomas> and

[https://www.goodreads.com/author/list/391597.Thomas\\_A\\_Wayment](https://www.goodreads.com/author/list/391597.Thomas_A_Wayment).

[8] "In many places, the Prophet replaced an old form with a new form, sometimes changing a word to a modern counterpart. He changed the extinct word wot to know, and he gave instructions that it be changed every time it appears. He used a instead of an before words that begin with h. He changed saith to said, which both removes an obsolete form and revises the text from present to past tense to make the sentences more clear. He changed that and which to who when referring to humans. There are also places where you is used where the KJV would have ye, thou, or thee. In a few instances, verbal conjugations are in modern forms. In a passage from the Book of Moses, the Lord speaks to Moses of 'this earth upon which thou standest' (Moses 1:40; emphasis added). In his final revision of the text, the Prophet changed this phrase to 'this earth upon which you stand.' In the same verse, he changed 'and thou shalt write' to 'and you shall write,' and in the next verse, 'like unto thee' is changed to 'like unto you.' But the Prophet did not make changes like these universally. Most instances of such forms appear as they do in the King James Bible. Modernizing the words and

grammar was clearly not the highest priority in the JST, but we do find evidence for it in the manuscripts.” Kent P. Jackson, “The King James Bible and the Joseph Smith Translation,” in *The King James Bible and the Restoration*, online at <https://rsc.byu.edu/archived/king-james-bible-and-restoration/12-king-james-bible-and-joseph-smith-translation>.

[9] I deliberately put “liberal” and “conservative” in scare quotes because I have found this dichotomous terminology unhelpful overall but am obliged to use it given its currency in both academic and popular discourse on theological and historical-critical matters. In fact, much of Wayment’s “conservatism” is, based on my own survey of the literature, fairly mainstream among New Testament scholars. I only designate it “conservative” since, relatively speaking, there are a number of New Testament scholars (some very prominent) who are more disposed to quickly dismiss the apostolic authorship of the epistles or the historicity of the gospels than Wayment allows in much of his commentary.

[10] See further Lincoln H. Blumell, “Luke 22:43-44: An Anti-Docetic Interpolation or an Apologetic Omission?” *TC: A Journal of Biblical Textual Criticism* 19 (2014): 1-35, online at <http://rosetta.reltech.org/TC/v19/TC-2014-Blumell.pdf>.

[11] For additional Latter-day Saint views on the authorship of Hebrews, see generally Sidney B. Sperry, *Paul’s Life and Letters* (Salt Lake City, UT: Bookcraft, 1955), 268-272; Richard Neitzel Holzapfel, Eric D. Huntsman, and Thomas A. Wayment, *Jesus Christ and the World of the New Testament* (Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 2006), 254-257; Terrence L. Szink, “Authorship of the Epistle to the Hebrews” in *How the New Testament Came to Be: The Thirty-fifth Annual Sidney B. Sperry Symposium*, ed. Kent P. Jackson and Frank F. Judd Jr. (Provo, UT: Religious Studies Center, Brigham Young University; Salt Lake City: Deseret Book, 2006), 243-259, online at <https://rsc.byu.edu/archived/selected-articles/authorship-epistle-hebrews>; Richard Lloyd Anderson, *Understanding Paul*, second ed. (Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 2007), 193-198.